

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 MICHAEL R. JONES,

No. 2:11-CV-2799-MCE-CMK

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

ORDER

14 OFFICE OF WORKERS' COMP.
15 PROGRAMS,

16 Defendant.
_____ /

17 Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action under the Privacy Act
18 and Freedom of Information Act. Pending before the court is plaintiff's motion for leave to
19 conduct discovery (Doc. 23).

20 The motion is procedurally defective and will be stricken. As specified in the
21 court's March 29, 2012, order, plaintiff's motion for discovery is governed by Eastern District of
22 California Local Rule 230. Under Local Rule 230(b), motions shall be noticed for hearing before
23 the appropriate judge. Under Local Rule 230(c), defendant's opposition would be due within 14
24 days preceding the noticed hearing date. Here, plaintiff did not notice his motion for hearing
25 before any judge. This violates Local Rule 230(b) and also makes it impossible to calculate the
26 due date for a response pursuant to Local Rule 230(c).

1 This matter is set for a status conference on July 18, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. in
2 Redding, California.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to conduct discovery (Doc. 23) is stricken for
5 violation of Local Rule 230; and

6 2. This matter is set for a status conference at the date, time, and place
7 indicated above.

8
9 DATED: June 25, 2012

10 
11 **CRAIG M. KELLISON**
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26